





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/462,075	01/06/2000	· ·	MAKOTO SATO	0670-221	4639
31780 7	590 ' 11/25/2003			EXAMINER	
ERIC ROBINSON			PSITOS, ARISTOTELIS M		
PMB 955 21010 SOUTH	BANK ST.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
POTOMAC FALLS, VA 20165			2653		
				DATE MAILED: 11/25/2003	22

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Se







	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/462,075	SATO, MAKOTO						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
. p.	Aristotelis M Psitos	2653						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAIL NO DATE OF THE COMMUNICATION	' IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
	stobor 2002	; ; _	11192					
			' ::c					
· —	action is non-final.	, : :,,	To caree					
 Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 			e merits is					
Disposition of Claims			; : US-					
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.		<u> </u>	110					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	i i k	1 112					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·	1.12					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.		† * -	:					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		l W	1 45-					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	. :						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.	TR I						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.	:					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	·							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	,					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	•	: : : : : :						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori			Stane					
application from the International Bureau		-						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first								
37 CFR 1.78.	sentence of the specification of	III all Application	Data Officer.					
a) 🔲 The translation of the foreign language prov	visional application has been rece	eived.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the								
	the second control of							
Attachment(s)		×						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)								
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20 . 6) Other:								
		U.9. Fittings ('Li Dutterk Affice					



Page 2

وسندر ليبك ويستشار وليتاج

Associating the diffe

Application/Control Number: 09/462,075

Art Unit: 2653

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's response of 10/14/03 has been considered with the following results.

Information Disclosure Statement

The IDS of 8/11/03 has been reviewed and made of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness which is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness which is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness which is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness which is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness which is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness which is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(b) which forms the basis for all obviousness which is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(b) which forms the basis for all obviousness which is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(b) which forms the basis for all obviousness which is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(b) which forms the basis for all obviousness which is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(b) which forms the basis for all obviousness which is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(b) which is a quotati rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as sets. Inclided the forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the first section 102 of this title. the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time their art such that the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. Harden to have the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), agrange from that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35.U.S.Cc.193(a) are local to the stablishing a background for determining obviousness under 35.U.S.Cc.193(a) are local to the stablishing as a local to the stablish summarized as follows: manuscient en fellows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue. 2
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Chamir'ng the best o Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or 4. nonobviousness. manulminusmass.
- Claims 1,4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either and 7 and 7 and 7 and rejected Hisamatsu et al or Scheffler either further considered with either Matsubayashi et al oreUsuisu et al or Scheffler either further considered with either Matsubayashi et al oreUsuisu et al or Scheffler either further considered with either Matsubayashi et al oreUsuisu et al ore Scheffler either further considered with either Matsubayashi et al oreUsuisu et al ore Scheffler either further considered with either Matsubayashi et al oreUsuisu et al ore Scheffler either further considered with either Matsubayashi et al ore Usuisu et al ore Scheffler either further considered with either Matsubayashi et al ore Usuisu et al ore Scheffler either further considered with either Matsubayashi et al ore Usuisu et al ore Scheffler either further considered with either Matsubayashi et al ore Usuisu et al ore Scheffler either further considered with either further fu With respect to claim 1, Hisamatsu et al discloses a system that can dub/re-record information. "from a radio broadcast - see col 1 lines 24-28. Furthermore, the title information associated the rewith is a course in the

displayed accordingly. displayed accordingly. The system permits the user to capture what he wants to record, target a particular area onton permits the user:

Another recording medium and record accordingly. Another recording medium and record

There is no clear description of a secondary ability of inputting title information, since the is no clear description. Title information from each selected file/unit is provided for. Tille information from each selected fi

As is known to those in the word processing arts. - those using either word perfect, or word, were the find we

The can be dubbed from sources, and by use of the "save as" function renamed. It the can be dubbed from pources,

. - Magazala dino

William enem.

Uniii... Yenna



Application/Control Number: 09/462,075

Art Unit: 2653

Examples of "save as" key inputs is taught by either Matsubayashi et al or Usui.

In Matsubayashi et al, applicant's attention is drawn to figure 3 and keys 33 and 37 and the associated disclosure.

Alternatively, the patent to Usui, at figure 17, see the description of the 2F-1 key teaches the ability of having a "save as" function for recording title information during the appropriate operation.

It would have been obvious to modify the base system of either Hisamatsu et al or Scheffler with annual and the above teaching from either Matsubayashi et al or Usui for the "save as" technique, motivation is the above to permit the user to name the final product.

Claims 1,4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Young et al or Yuen et al each further considered with either Matsubayashi et al or Usui

With respect to claim 1 and Young et al, applicant's attention is drawn to figures 20-22 and their intermetion = soto a trespective disclosures.

As depicted in figure 22a, a tuner – element 202 is provided and a display – monitor 210 for displaying text is also depicted.

The ability of storing in a "buffer" region text/title information is found in the discussion of element

232. Such is stored when the program guide/schedule memory is appropriately set and hence the

examiner interprets the setting of the guide/schedule by the keys depicted in figure 21 as the first, second

and third keys recited.

Additionally the ability of selecting a "target unit" is performed when the system records the

but does require a the selected information onto the recording medium, which is what the examiner interprets as the target unit.

Finally, the third key and its function is interpreted as the operation of the record it key (148) and

The examination of the record it key (148) and the administration of the record it key (148)

Applicant's attention is further drawn to figure 11 and its description as well as to col. 8 line 14 plus, column 12 line 31 plus.

and by use of ਸ਼ੀਦ "ਡਾ.

.





Application/Control Number: 09/462,075

Art Unit: 2653

In short the system provided by Young et al provides a user to select incoming information predicated upon "title" (text) and provide for a temporary storing of such in his schedule memory, a review of such, and final recording upon the recording medium appropriately.

Although the Young et al system only uses a single controller, CPU 228, the ability of separating control functions into a plurality of controllers (the ability of such is to permit dedicated processors and coprocessors) is considered merely an obvious expedience in this environment and obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art if for no other reason than to reduce overall down time/mean time to failure and increase the trouble shooting ability to correct/replace for defective components when they fail a process shooting ability to correct/replace for defective components when they fail a process shooting ability to correct/replace for defective components when they fail a process shooting ability to correct/replace for defective components when they fail a process shooting ability to correct/replace for defective components when they fail a process shooting ability to correct/replace for defective components when they fail a process shooting ability to correct/replace for defective components when they fail a process shooting ability to correct/replace for defective components when they fail a process shooting ability to correct/replace for defective components when they fail a process shooting ability to correct/replace for defective components when they fail a process shooting ability to correct/replace for defective components when they fail a process shooting ability to correct/replace for defective components when they fail a process shooting a process shooting ability to correct/replace for defective components when they fail a process shooting a process shooting ability to correct/replace for defective components when they fail a process shooting a process shooting

With respect to Yuen et al, applicant's attention is drawn to figures 1, 3, 6, 16, 18, 19, 21-24, 19, 21-24, 19, 21-24, 19, 21-24, 19, 21-24,

Yuen et al also provides for a system wherein a tuner is used – see element 61 in figure 1, a since a display of information is permitted through the display elements 32e, while temporary storing textended the information – into a buffer region, is provided by the ram element 30.

Unlike Young et al, Yuen et al provides for two system controllers – elements 21 and 31 to Young et al, Young et al

Again, although the first, second and third keys are not clearly depicted, as noted the directory controller has the provision of entering information – see element 32a,d. The ability of hence selecting a variation target unit, the ability to record is considered established/present when the desired program is found and hence recorded onto the tape medium. Finally, the ability of calling the captured information in the buffer region is established/present when the information is conveyed from the ram onto the recording medium.

Claim 4 differentiates from claim 1 in that it only requires a first and second key, not adhird key prentiates from our but does require a third key, but does require a title inputting region. Since the title is indeed record medium, this element is indeed the record medium, this element is indeed to the record medium.

The examiner interprets claim 7 as the operation of either the system to Young et allow Yuen et al. interprets claim

With respect to the ability of having a second key to input 'title" information, as is known to the ability of the ability of the word processing arts – those using either word perfect, or word, files can be dubbed from sources, and by use of the "save as" function re.

Examples of "save as" key inputs is taught by either Matsubayashi et al or Usui.

ாட் கார் பார் In Matsubayashi et al, applicant's attention is drawn to figure 3 and keys 33 and 37 and the கொர்கள் கட்டகள்.

ins advictly addit

William C. Barrey

Any Inquit.

laka C.



Application/Control Number: 09/462,075

Art Unit: 2653

associated disclosure.

Alternatively, the patent to Usui, at figure 17, see the description of the 2F-1 key teaches the ability of having a "save as" function for recording title information during the appropriate operation.

It would have been obvious to modify the base system of either Hisamatsu et al or Scheffler with the above teaching from either Matsubayashi et al or Usui for the "save as" technique, motivation is to permit the user to name the final product.

Claims 2,3,4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the art as applied to claims 1 and 4 above, and further in view of either JP 3-233670 or JP 9-146528.

The ability of "deleting" duplicate, unwanted characters in a text is taught by either of the noted secondary systems to JP 3-233670 or JP 9-146528.

It would have been obvious to modify the base system of the primary references to either Young et al or Yuen et al with the additional duplicate deletion ability as noted above in the secondary references, motivation is to eliminate any unnecessary text for display.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

where this applica-The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Scheffler is cited as a system for making custom albums and can be relied upon in place of the reference directed to the rec-

to Hisamatsu et al.

Alwadish - see the 3 keys, 34, 36, and 38, input memory, recall, and print buttons on the recording device.

Amano et al - see the disclosure starting at col. 8 line 47 to col. 9 line 54 for disclosing a recording system having a "title" input and save ability.



Page 6



Application/Control Number: 09/462,075

Art Unit: 2653

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date:

of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH

shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action as a simple of the advisory action and the advisory action are also like a simple of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX action.

Hard copies of the application files are now separated from this examining corps, hence and the examiner can answer no questions that requires a review of the file without sufficient leader answer no questions that requires a review of the file without sufficient leader answer no questions that requires a review of the file without sufficient leader answer no questions that requires a review of the file without sufficient leader answer no questions that requires a review of the file without sufficient leader answer no questions that requires a review of the file without sufficient leader answer no questions that requires a review of the file without sufficient leader answer no questions that requires a review of the file without sufficient leader answer no questions that requires a review of the file without sufficient leader answer no questions that requires a review of the file without sufficient leader answer no questions that requires a review of the file without sufficient leader answer no questions that requires a review of the file without sufficient leader answer no questions are recommendated as a review of the file without sufficient leader and the file withou

Any inquiries concerning missing papers/references, etc. must be directed to Group 2600es concerning in Customer Services at (703) 306-0377.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aristotelis M Psitos whose telephone number is (703) 308-1598. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thursday 8 - 4.

William R. Korzuch can be reached on (703) 305-6137. The fax phone number for the organization patrocci for proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be a general nature.

directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Aristotelis M Psitos Primary Examiner Art Unit 2653

ALTERNA (P

AMP